(12) **UK Patent Application** (19) **GB** (11) **2 235 697** (13) **A**

(43) Date of A publication 13.03.1991

(21) Application No 8631037.2

(22) Date of filing 30.12.1986

(71) Applicant
General Electric Company

(Incorporated in the USA - New York)

1 River Road, Schenectady, N.Y. 12345,
United States of America

(72) Inventors
Carl Stephen Wukusick
Leo Buchakjian, Jr.

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service
Brookes & Martin
High Holborn House, 52/54 High Holborn, London,
WC1V 6SE, United Kingdom

(51) INT CL⁵
C22C 19/05

(52) UK CL (Edition K)
C7A AA23Y AA237 AA239 AA25Y AA260 AA263
AA266 AA299 AA30Y AA311 AA313 AA316 AA319
AA320 AA323 AA326 AA329 AA335 AA339 AA34Y
AA35X AA35Y AA350 AA37Y AA377 AA379 AA38X
AA381 AA383 AA385 AA387 AA389 AA409 AA41Y
AA416 AA418 AA42X AA422 AA425 AA428 AA459
AA48Y AA485 AA487 AA489 AA51Y AA523 AA525
AA527 AA529 AA53Y AA545 AA547 AA549 AA579
AA599 AA609 AA629 AA67X AA671 AA673 AA675
AA677 AA679 AA68X AA68Y AA681 AA682 AA683
AA685 AA686 AA687 AA689 AA69X AA693 AA695
AA697 AA699 AA70X A748 A749 A750 A751 A752
A753 A755 A756 A759 A77Y A78Y A781
U1S S1987 S2036

(56) Documents cited
GB 2153845 A   GB 2152076 A   GB 2114603 A
GB 2110241 A   GB 2066292 A   GB 1562082 A
GB 1512984 A   GB 1471053 A   GB 1449273 A
GB 1344917 A   EP 0107508 A1   EP 0076360 A2

(58) Field of search
UK CL (Edition I) C7A
INT CL⁴ C22C

(54) **Nickel-base superalloys**

(57) Gas turbine engine efficiencies are increased through improvements in nickel-base superalloys used to make parts and components for gas turbine engines. These improved superalloys consist essentially of, in percentages by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10 Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf; 0-0.07C, 0-0.015B and 0-0.075Y, the balance being Ni and incidental impurities. They produce single crystal articles having improvements in temperature capability, based on stress rupture strength and low and high cycle fatigue properties. Because of their superior resistance to degradation by cyclic oxidation, and their resistance to hot corrosion, the superalloys possess a unique balance in mechanical and environmental properties.

GB 2 235 697 A

IMPROVED AND PROPERTY-BALANCED NICKEL-BASE
SUPERALLOYS FOR PRODUCING SINGLE
CRYSTAL ARTICLES

The invention disclosed and claimed herein is
related to the invention disclosed and claimed in
co-assigned application Ser. No. 595,854 filed on
April 2, 1984.

5   This invention pertains generally to
nickel-base superalloys castable as single crystal
articles of manufacture, which articles are especially
useful as hot-section components of aircraft gas
turbine engines, particularly rotating blades.

10   The efficiency of gas turbine engines depends
significantly on the operating temperature of the
various engine components with increased operating
temperatures resulting in increased efficiencies. One
means by which the operating temperature capability can

15 be increased is by casting the components which operate
at the highest temperatures, e.g., turbine blades and
vanes, with complex hollow passageways therein so that
cooling air can be forced through the component and out
through holes in the leading and trailing edges. Thus,

20 internal cooling is achieved by conduction and external
cooling is achieved by film or boundary layer cooling.

        The search for increased efficiencies has also
led to the development of heat-resistant superalloys
which can withstand increasingly high temperatures yet

25 maintain their basic material properties. Oftentimes,

the development of such superalloys has been done in conjunction with the design, development and manufacture of the aforementioned cast components having intricate air cooling passageways therein.

5   The present invention is directed to the achievement of increased efficiencies through further improvements in nickel-base superalloys. Accordingly, there is provided by the present invention nickel-base superalloys for producing single crystal articles

10 having a significant increase in temperature capability, based on stress rupture strength and low and high cycle fatigue properties, over single crystal articles made from current production nickel-base superalloys. Further, because of their superior

15 resistance to degradation by cyclic oxidation, and their resistance to hot corrosion, the superalloys of this invention possess a balance in mechanical and environmental properties which is unique and has not heretofore been obtained.

20 According to the present invention, superalloys suitable for making single-crystal castings comprise the elements shown in Table I below, by weight percent (weight %), with the balance being nickel (Ni) plus incidental impurities:

TABLE I

ALLOY COMPOSITIONS
(weight %)

	Elements	Base	Preferred	Most Preferred
	Chromium (Cr):	5 - 10	6.75 - 7.25	7.0
5	Cobalt (Co):	5 - 10	7.0 - 8.0	7.5
	Molybdenum (Mo):	0 - 2	1.3 - 1.7	1.5
	Tungsten (W) :	3 - 10	4.75 - 5.25	5.0
	Tantalum (Ta):	3 - 8	6.3 - 6.7	6.5
	Titanium (Ti):	0 - 2	0.02 max	0.0
10	Aluminum (Al):	5 - 7	6.1 - 6.3	6.2
	Rhenium (Re):	0 - 6	2.75 - 3.25	3.0
	Hafnium (Hf):	0 - 0.50	0.12 - 0.18	0.15
	Carbon (C) :	0 - 0.07	0.04 - 0.06	0.05
	Boron (B) :	0 - 0.015	0.003 - 0.005	0.004
15	Yttrium (Y) :	0 - 0.075	0.005 - 0.030	0.01

The invention also includes cast single-crystal articles, such as gas turbine engine turbine blades and vanes, made of an alloy having a composition falling within the foregoing range of compositions.

20 There are two basic directional solidification (DS) methods now well-known in the art by which single crystal castings may be made. They generally comprise either the use of a seed crystal or the use of a labyrinthine passage which serves to select a single 25 crystal of the alloy which grows to form the single crystal article ("choke" process).

In order to develop and test alloys of the invention, three series of 3000 gram heats of the alloys listed in Table II were vacuum induction melted 30 and cast into 1-1/2" dia. copper molds to form ingots. The ingots were subsequently remelted and cast into 1/2" x 2" x 4" single crystal slabs using the choke process, although the other previously mentioned process could have been used.

In a series of separate experiments, it was determined that yttrium retention in the single crystal slabs was about 30% of that present in the initial ingots. Hence, in preparing the series I, II, and III

5 alloys shown in Table II, sufficient excess yttrium was added to the initially cast alloys so as to achieve the yttrium levels shown in Table II taking into account the 30% retention factor.

The series I alloys were designed to evaluate

10 the interactions between tungsten, molybdenum and rhenium as gamma (γ) matrix alloying elements. The series II alloys were designed somewhat independently from series I in order to accommodate additional variables. Aluminum was maintained at a high level and

15 titanium and tantalum were varied to accomplish a range of gamma prime (γ') levels up to about 63 volume percent and chromium was reduced in order to permit the increased γ' contents. Since it was determined that the 8% Cr series I alloys as a group were less stable

20 than the series II alloys, the base Cr level was reduced from the 8% in series I to 7% to achieve better stability. Co was varied in alloys 812-814 to evaluate the effect of Co on stability.

The series III alloys were based on

25 evaluations of the series I and II alloys. From series II, the upper limit in γ' content, based on γ' solutioning, was about 60 volume percent. Alloys 824-826 were based on alloy 820 which had 5.5% Re and high strength, but was unstable. Thus, the Re content

30 was reduced to achieve stability. Alloys 827-829 were based on alloy 821 (0% Ti), but in which W and Re were varied. Alloys 830-833 were based on alloy 800 (1.5% Ti), but in which Re, W and Mo were varied. Alloys 834 and 835 contained increased Al at the expense of Ta and

35 Ti. In all the series III alloys, the Co content was

maintained at 10%, based on the evaluation of alloys 812-814 in series II.

The series I, II and III alloys were evaluated for stress rupture strength and the results of the
5 tests are set forth in Table III. Prior to testing, the alloys, except for the "R" series noted in Table III, were heat treated as 1/2" thick single crystal slabs according to the following schedule: solutionizing at 2350 - 2400°F for two hours to achieve
10 solutioning of at least 95% of the γ' phase followed by an intermediate age at 1975°F for 4 hrs. and a final age at 1650°F for 16 hrs.

TABLE II
(single crystal analyses)

Series I

Alloy #	Cr	Co	Mo	W	Ta	Ti	Al	Re	Hf	B	C	Y
800	8	7.5	1.5	4.0	5	1.5	5.8	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
801	8	7.5	0.5	5.9	5	1.5	5.75	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
802	8	7.5	0.0	5.9	5	1.5	5.75	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
803	8	7.5	0.0	4.0	5	1.5	5.75	4.5	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
804	8	7.5	0.0	2.0	5	1.5	5.75	6.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
805	8	7.5	3.65	0.0	5	1.5	5.9	3.1	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
806	8	7.5	3.0	0.0	5	1.5	5.8	4.5	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
807	8	7.5	1.5	3.0	6	1.0	6.0	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
808	8	7.5	1.5	3.0	6	0.0	6.5	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
809	8	7.5	0.0	4.0	6	0.0	6.4	4.5	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
810	8	7.5	0.0	2.0	6	0.0	6.4	6.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0
811	8	7.5	3.0	0.0	6	0.0	6.4	4.5	0.15	0.004	0.05	0

5

10

TABLE II
(single crystal analyses)

Series II

Alloy #	Cr	Co	Mo	W	Ta	Ti	Al	Re	Hf	C	B	Y
812	7	5.0	1.5	3	6.0	1.0	6.0	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
813	7	7.5	1.5	3	6.0	1.0	6.0	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
814	7	10.0	1.5	3	6.0	1.0	6.0	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
815	5	7.5	1.5	3	7.5	0.5	6.5	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
816	5	7.5	1.5	3	8.0	0.5	6.5	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
817	5	7.5	0.5	3	8.0	1.0	6.5	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
818	5	7.5	1.5	3	7.0	0.5	6.5	3.5	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
819	5	7.5	1.5	3	7.0	0.5	6.5	4.5	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
820	5	7.5	1.5	3	7.0	0.0	6.5	5.5	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
821	7	7.5	1.5	5	6.5	0.0	6.2	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
822	6	7.5	1.5	5	6.5	0.0	6.2	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015
823	5	7.5	1.5	5	6.5	0.0	6.2	3.0	0.15	0.05	0.004	0.015

TABLE II
(single crystal analyses)

Series III

Alloy #	Cr	Co	Mo	W	Ta	Ti	Al	Re	Hf	B	C	Y
824	5.0	10.0	1.5	6.5	7.0	0	6.5	2.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
825	5.0	10.0	1.5	5.5	7.0	0	6.5	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
826	5.0	10.0	1.5	4.0	7.0	0	6.5	4.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
827	7.0	10.0	1.5	5.0	6.5	0	6.2	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
828	7.0	10.0	1.5	6.0	6.5	0	6.2	2.5	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
829	7.0	10.0	1.5	7.0	6.5	0	6.2	2.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
830	7.0	10.0	1.5	5.0	5.0	1.5	5.8	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
831	7.0	10.0	1.5	6.0	5.0	1.5	5.8	2.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
832	7.0	10.0	1.5	7.0	5.0	1.5	5.8	1.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
833	7.0	10.0	2.5	4.0	5.0	1.5	5.8	2.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
834	6.0	10.0	1.5	5.5	4.0	0	7.0	3.0	0.15	0.004	0.05	0.015
835	7.0	10.0	1.5	4.0	2.0	0	7.5	3.0	0.15	0.004 -	0.05	0.015

5

10

TABLE III

Stress Rupture

(parallel to single crystal growth direction)

ALLOY	ACO[1]	LIFE (HRS)			
		1600°F/ 80 Ksi	1800°F/ 40 Ksi	2000°F/ 20 Ksi	2100°F/ 13 Ksi
800		94.5	68.5	184.5	90.4
801		87.0	44.5	45.5	29.1
802		86.8	63.1	90.0	-
803		66.7	67.1	70.1	82.9
804		54.2	103.0	52.4	-
805		56.3	56.3	55.2	30.0
806		85.6	43.8	57.4	22.9
807		75.7	60.1	100.3	66.4
808		55.6	53.5	31.6	39.2
809	N	22.0	69.6	46.0	25.3
810		62.2	64.7	35.4	10.1
811		101.9	161.8	44.1	8.2
812		35.1	49.1	30.2	53.5
813		53.8	51.4	27.0	52.2
814		57.9	63.7	42.8	47.1
815		76.5	65.4	64.8	143.2
816		103.9	83.6	47.6	121.4
817		24.8	55.5	42.3	55.5
818	N	84.3	85.6	68.0	113.3
819		147.4	115.5	100.6	264.3
820		257.2	158.7	153.7	220.1
821		114.3	80.4	98.4	74.3
822		64.2	70.3	43.1	96.9
823		22.3	48.7	-	46.3

(continued)

TABLE III - continued

Stress Rupture

	ALLOY	ACO[1]	LIFE (HRS)		
			1600°F/ 80 Ksi	1800°F/ 40 Ksi	2000°F/ 20 Ksi
5	824		97.1	91.9	
		R		118.8	67.7
	825		74.0	94.7	
		R		128.4	82.3
	826		113.1	119.8	
10		R		135.6	122.1
	827	N	6.7	76.2	
		R		108.4	70.0
	828		119.1	72.7	
		R		95.7	119.0
15	829		110	72.7	
		R		90.2	88.0
	830	N	59.8	126.5	
		R		162.2	147.8
	831		92.7	68.9	
20		R		82.1	137.6
	832		90.1	58.5	
		R		85.4	107.3
	833		96.5	51.6	
		R		69.1	132.7
25	834		119.2	80.7	
		R		105.7	69.1
	835	N	43.5	55.3	
		R		67.4	27.9

1   ACO= Acceptable Crystallographic Orientation =
30   single crystal growth orientation within 15° of the
        [001] zone axis; N = no, otherwise yes

        The series III alloys were initially tested at
1600°F/80 ksi and 1800°F/40 ksi. Based on other tests,
such as those reported in Table VII, additional test
35 specimens were resolutioned at 2390°F for two hours,
given a more rapid cool and aged at 2050°F/4 hours +
1650°F/4 hours, the "R" treatment listed in Table III,

and stress rupture tested at 1800°F/40 ksi and
2000°F/20 ksi. The reheat treatment resulted in an
average increase in rupture life at 1800°F/40 ksi of
about 30%. At the critical parameter of 1800°F/40 ksi
5 for gas turbine engine applications, it is expected
that the series I and II alloys would also exhibit a
30% increase in life when given the "R" treatment.

Other experiments have shown that cooling
rates from the solutionizing temperature to 2000°F in
10 the range of 100-600°F/min have only a slight effect on
the stress rupture properties of the alloys of the
invention with higher rates tending to improve the life
at 1800°F/40 ksi slightly. The data are shown in
Table IV.

15

TABLE IV

Cooling Rate °F/Min	Stress Rupture Life, Hours	
	1800°F/40 ksi	2000°F/20 ksi
600	91	107
300	85	123
100-150	75	120
Average of all prior data (various cooling rates)	79	100

Thus, for the superalloys of the invention,
the presently preferred heat treatment is as follows:
25 solutionize in a temperature range sufficient to
achieve solutioning of at least 95% of the γ' phase,
preferably 2385-2395°F, for 2 hrs., cool to 2000°F at
100°F/min. minimum, furnace cool to 1200°F in 60 min.
or less and thereafter cool to room temperature; heat

to 2050 ± 25°F for 4 hrs., furnace cool to below 1200°F
in 6 min. or less and thereafter to room temperature;
and heat to 1650 ± 25°F for 4 hrs. and thereafter
furnace cool to room temperature. All heat treatment
5 steps are performed in vacuum or an inert atmosphere,
and in lieu of the steps calling for cooling to room
temperature the treatment may proceed directly to the
next heating step.

The stress rupture data from the series I, II,
10 and III alloys indicates that about 5% Re provides the
highest rupture strength at 1800°F/40 ksi. The data
also show, when rupture life is graphed as a function
of rhenium content at constant tungsten contents, that
high rupture life at 1800°F/40 ksi can be obtained with
15 rhenium plus tungsten levels in the (3Re + 7W) to
(5Re + 3W) ranges. In the most preferred embodiment,
Alloy 821, the presently preferred (Re + W) combination
is (3Re + 5W) due to the present relative costs of
rhenium and tungsten.

20 All the alloys were evaluated for
microstructural stability. Specimens were heat treated
by solutionizing at 2375-2400°F/2 hrs. and aging at
1975°F/4 hrs. and at 1650°F/16 hrs. Thereafter,
different sets of specimens were heated for 1000 hrs.
25 at 1800°F and for 1000 hrs. at 2000°F. After
preparation, including etching with diluted Murakami's
electrolyte, the specimens were examined
metallographically and the relative amount of
topologically close packed phase (TCP) was determined
30 visually. The series II alloys, except alloys 818 and
819, showed either no TCP precipitation or only traces
of precipitation (821) and, as a group, were less prone
to microstructural instability than the series III
alloys and much less prone than the series I alloys at
35 both 1800°F and 2000°F.

Table V presents the results of cyclic
oxidation tests on uncoated 1/4" dia. x 3" long pin
specimens conducted at 2150°F using a natural gas flame
at Mach 1 gas velocity. The specimens were rotated for
5 uniform exposure and cycled out of the flame once per
hour to cool the specimens to room temperature.
External metal loss was measured on a section cut
transverse to the length dimension of the specimen.
Metal loss per side was found by dividing the
10 difference between the pin diameter before and after
test by two. The data in the table are the average of
two such measurements at 90° to each other across the
diameter of the specimen.

The two series I alloys that contained yttrium
15 (802 and 808) had exceptional oxidation resistance.
The series II alloys, all of which were
yttrium-bearing, exhibited no metal loss after 200
hours of high velocity oxidation (Mach I) at 2150°F and
only 2-3 mils γ' depletion, demonstrating that a
20 synergistic Y + Hf effect was operating. These data
also demonstrate that Re improves the oxidation
resistance or at least is less detrimental than W which
it has replaced in the alloys and, from metallographic
studies, also results in improved γ' stability.

TABLE V

Oxidation, 2150°F, Mach 1.0

Alloy Time (hrs)	Metal Loss (mils/side)					γ' Depletion (mils/side)
	18.6	62.6	127.6	169.6	214.6	
800	1.0	1.8	4.8	6.8	9.3	6-12
801	0.8	1.5	4.8	8.0	9.8	4-8
802	0	0	0.3	0	0.3	2-3
803	0.8	1.5	2.8	4.0	5.8	8-10
804	0.8	1.3	1.5	4.0	5.3	8-12
805	1.0	1.5	10.3	7.5	9.5	8-10
806	0.8	1.8	4.8	6.3	9.8	8-10
807	1.0	2.0	4.5	6.3	8.0	6-8
808	0.3	0	0.3	0.3	0.5	2-3
809	1.0	1.8	2.8	2.0	4.3	10-14
810	1.0	1.8	2.8	3.5	4.0	10-16
811	1.3	2.5	3.0	4.5	5.5	12-16
812	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
813	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
814	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
815	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
816	0	0	0	0	0	1
817	0	0	0	0	0	1
818	0	0	0	0	0	2
819	0	0	0	0	0	2
820	0	0	0	0	0	2
821	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
822	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
823	0	0	0	0	0	1-2
R125	-	-	-	-	80	-
R80	-	-	-	-	90	-
MA754	-	-	-	-	12	-

The hot corrosion resistance of the alloys of
the invention was evaluated alongside three alloys used
to produce production turbine blades, Rene' 125, B1900,
and MM200(Hf), in tests wherein specimens of the alloys
5   were exposed to a JP-5 fuel-fired flame at 1600°F with a
nominal 1 ppm salt added to the combustion products.
The test was first run at ~1 ppm for 1040 hrs., and
then at ~2 ppm, for 578 hrs. The chemical determination
of NaCl on calibration pins at every 200 hours indicated
10   that the salt level was between 0 and 1 ppm during the
first 1000 hours, between 1 and 2 ppm during the next
300-400 hours and above 2 ppm during the remaining 300
hours. The following conclusions were drawn from these
hot corrosion tests: 1) B1900 was least resistant to
15   hot corrosion at all salt levels, 2) MM200(Hf) was the
next least resistant alloy at all salt levels, 3) the
alloys of the invention, especially alloy 821, and Rene'
125 exhibit similar hot corrosion behavior, with the
alloys of the invention being slightly less resistant
20   than Rene' 125, and 4) as is the case for Rene' 125 and
other alloys, the alloys of the invention appear to be
sensitive to salt level in the corrosion test with
increased salt level resulting in poorer corrosion
resistance. Thus, the difference between B1900,
25   MM200(Hf), Rene' 125, and the alloys of the invention
narrows at high salt levels. These results are
consistent with prior experience and indicate that the
hot corrosion resistance of the alloys of the invention
will be adequate for applications where Rene' 125
30   equivalency is required.

Alloy 821 was scaled up as a 300 lb master heat
having the composition given in Table VI. No yttrium
was added to the master heat; rather, yttrium was added
when the master heat material was remelted and molten

prior to DS'ing to produce single crystal slabs and turbine blades. For the test specimens used to obtain the data of Tables VII, VIII, IX, and X, yttrium in the amount of 400 ppm was added. Stress rupture strength
5 data for alloy 821 from the 300 lb master heat and the 12 lb. laboratory heat are presented in Table IX.

TABLE VI

300 Lb Alloy 821 Master Heat

Cr	6.79	Ti	0
Co	7.30	Re	2.95
Mo	1.48	Hf	0.17
W	4.95	C	0.05
Ta	6.40	B	0.004
Al	6.15	Y	0

TABLE VII

Stress Rupture Data

Heat	H. Treat	Temp (°F)	Stress (ksi)	Life (Hrs)	El (%)	RA (%)
5 12 lb Lab. Ht.	2390/2 + 1975/4 + 1650/16 H.T. as slabs	1600	80	114.3		
		1800	40	80.4		
		2000	20	98.4	7.7	43.1
		2100	13	74.3	16.8	6.8
	2390/2 + 1975/4 + 1650/16 H.T. as slabs	1400	130	1.9	19.5	26.9
10 300 lb Master Ht. Alloy 821		1400	110	351.6	14.8	24.4
		1600	80	155.4	20.1	26.8
		1800	40	72.7	39.4	29.9
		1800	40	75.8	20.6	33.2
		1800	35	227.8	17.5	27.3
15		1800	30	509.2	16.8	28.7
		1800	25	120.2	10.1	23.4
		1900	25	357.2	13.9	28.6
		1900	22	81.3	13.6	38.5
		2000	20	391.9	13.1	23.3
		2000	17.5			
20		2100	13	80.5	3.4	48.6
300 lb Alloy 821	Reheat treated* + 1900/4 age + 1650/4 age	1600	80	115.8	19.0	25.0
		1800	40	68.4	17.0	30.5
		2000	20	82.7	13.9	35.2
25	Reheat treated* + 1975/4 age + 1650/4 age	1600	80	155.2	19.0	26.2
		1800	40	85.2	25.5	39.0
		2000	20	101.2	14.7	34.4
	Reheat treated* + 2050/4 age + 1650/4 age	1600	80	160.0	18.9	27.5
		1800	40	103.8	18.1	28.3
		2000	20	125.7	11.6	40.3
30	Reheat treated* + 2125/1 age + + 1975/4 (coating simulation) + 1650/4 age	1600	80	139.9	19.3	24.0
		1800	40	97.4	23.2	28.6
		2000	20	126.9	12.8	32.9
35	Reheat treated* + 2200/1 age + + 1975/4 (coating simulation) + 1650/4 age	1600	80	131.0	17.8	24.7
		1800	40	90.5	20.6	29.8
		2000	20	97.2	12.4	31.1

40 * All resolutioned in test specimen form at 2390°F/2 hr + fast cool to 2000° F.

Tensile strength, low cycle fatigue and high cycle fatigue tests were performed on single crystal material from the 300 lb heat of alloy 821 solutioned at 2390°F/2 hrs. and aged at 1975°F/4 hrs. and
5 1650°F/16 hrs., with the results shown in Tables VIII, IX, and X, respectively, where UTS is ultimate tensile strength; YS is yield strength at 0.2% strain offset; El is elongation; and RA is reduction in area.

TABLE VIII

Tensile Data

(Master Heat Alloy 821)

Temp (°F)	UTS (Ksi)	0.2% YS (Ksi)	0.02% YS (Ksi)	El (%)	RA (%)
1000	128.6	113.4	110.7	11.6	18.9
1200	129.6	112.4	106.5	14.2	19.9
1400	142.8	112.8	102.6	9.9	13.3
1600	143.3	129.4	103.5	18.0	30.8
1800	110.1	94.7	71.9	10.0	28.1
2000	64.1	51.2	39.2	19.1	21.6

TABLE IX

Low Cycle Fatigue

(Master Heat Alloy 821)

Alternating Pseudostress (ksi)[1]	Cycles to Failure N_f
21	4.9×10^3
31	2.3×10^3
37	2.5×10^3

[1] 2 min. compressive strain hold, 2000°F

TABLE X

High Cycle Fatigue[1]

(Master Heat Alloy 821)

Alternating Stress (ksi)	Cycles to Failure N_f
10	9.6×10^6
11	4.4×10^6
13	1.4×10^6
15	0.5×10^6

[1] 2050°F; A = 0.67, 30 Hz

As discussed at greater length in co-pending co-assigned application Ser. No. 595,854, the superalloys of this invention break with the long-standing wisdom of the single crystal superalloy arts that grain boundary strengthening elements such as B, Zr and C are to be avoided, i.e., kept to the lowest levels possible consistent with commercial melting and alloying practice and technology. One general reason given for restricting such elements is to increase the incipient melting temperature in relation to the γ' solvus temperature thus permitting solutionizing heat treatments to be performed at temperatures where complete solutionizing of the γ' phase is possible in reasonable times without causing localized melting of solute-rich regions. Another is to minimize or preclude the formation of deleterious TCP phases.

As noted in the Ser. No. 595,854 application, single crystal articles are not necessarily wholly of a

single crystal as there may be present therein grain boundaries referred to as low angle grain boundaries wherein the crystallographic mismatch across the boundary is generally accepted to be less than about 5

5 to 6 degrees. Low angle grain boundaries are to be distinguished from high angle grain boundaries which are generally regarded as boundaries between adjacent grains whose crystallographic orientation differs by more than about 5-6 degrees. High angle grain

10 boundaries are regions of high surface energy, i.e., on the order of several hundreds of ergs/cm^2, and of such high random misfit that the structure cannot easily be described or modelled.

As also noted therein, the discovery that

15 small, but controlled, amounts of such previously prohibited elements can be tolerated resulted in the single crystal superalloys of the Ser. No. 595,854 application which have improved tolerance to low angle grain boundaries, i.e., have greater grain boundary

20 strength than the state-of-the-art single crystal superalloys. As one result of this increased grain boundary strength, grain boundary mismatches far greater than the 6° limit for prior art single crystal superalloy articles can be tolerated in single crystal

25 articles made from the nickel-base superalloys of that invention. This translates, for example, into better in-service reliability, lower inspection costs and higher yields as grain boundaries over a broader range can be accepted by the usual inspection techniques.

30 The novel features of that invention have been embodied in the novel superalloys of the present invention; thus, the superalloys of the present invention also exhibit improved tolerance to low angle grain boundaries and also have the above-described benefits.

The superalloys of this invention are also alloyed with yttrium which renders them more highly reactive with respect to ceramic molds and cores used in the investment casting process than nickel-base

5 superalloys not alloyed with yttrium. Ceramic/metal instability is controlled by the bulk thermodynamic condition of the system. The more negative the free energy of formation, $\Delta G°_f$, the greater the affinity for oxygen. It has been found that the free energy of

10 formation for oxides becomes more negative as more reactive elements, such as yttrium, are added resulting in a greater potential for metal/ceramic reaction than when typical SiO_2 and ZrO_2 ceramic mold and core systems are used. Based on thermodynamic

15 considerations and the work reported in U.S. Department of the Air Force publication AFML-TR-77-211, "Development of Advanced Core and Mold Materials for Directional Solidification of Eutectics" (1977), alumina is less reactive and is, therefore, a preferred

20 material for molds, cores and face coats when casting superalloys containing reactive elements.

It has also been found that melt/mold and core interactions are decreased, the retention of yttrium increased and the uniformity of yttrium distribution

25 improved by the use of low investment casting parameters and temperatures. This translates to the use of the lowest possible superheat and mold preheat and a high withdrawal rate in the casting of the single crystal articles of this invention

30 Several uncored small turbine blades were investment cast using alloy 821 material from the previously mentioned 300 lb scale-up master heat. Those blades measured about 1.5" from tip to root with a span of approximately 0.75". Blade tip to platform

35 distance was 1". As noted earlier, yttrium was added

to the master heat material while molten and prior to
DS'ing -- in this case the amount was 2000 ppm. In
general, most blades exhibited acceptable crystal
structure and, as shown in Table XI, those cast using
5 low casting parameters had better yttrium retention.
Also, it appeared that surface to volume ratio
influences yttrium retention; as the ratio increases,
the yttrium retention decreases. This is illustrated
by comparison of yttrium retention at the leading and
10 trailing edges; the surface to volume ratio is lower in
the leading edge compared to the trailing edge, and the
yttrium retention in the leading edge is consistently
higher than at the trailing edge.

TABLE XI

		Yttrium Content (ppm)			
		Airfoil Tip		Airfoil Near Platform		Blade Root
Casting Condition		LE[1]	TE[2]	LE	TE	ROOT[3]
Low Superheat		130	100	160	100	130
		90	60	80	50	160
		190	120	190	150	190
		170	90	180	150	200
		410	330	470	360	380
		310	120	270	160	280
High Superheat		80	60	120	70	100
		80	80	100	70	130
		100	90	90	150	100
		80	60	100	100	100
		130	150	190	150	120
		170	200	240	210	170

1 LE = leading edge

2 TE = trailing edge

3 ROOT = root, center

Additional single crystal investment castings of large solid turbine blades (4-3/4" tip-to-root) and small and large turbine blades having cores therein to define serpentine passageways for the provision of

5 cooling air were also made. The large solid turbine blades required late yttrium additions of up to 2400 ppm in order to obtain yttrium distributions within the desired 50-300 ppm level. Similar such levels, coupled with the use of low investment casting parameters, were

10 required to obtain acceptable yttrium levels in the cored blades. As was the case with the uncored small turbine blades, the effect of surface to volume ratio was evident; the leading edge retained higher yttrium levels compared to the trailing edge.

15 Although the present invention has been described in connection with specific examples, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the present invention is capable of variations and modifications within the scope of the invention as

20 represented by the appended claims.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A nickel-base superalloy consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10 Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10 W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf, 0-0.07 C, 0-0.015 B, and 0-0.075 Y, the
5 balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

2. The superalloy of claim 1 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 6.75-7.25 Cr, 7.0-8.0 Co, 1.3-1.7 Mo, 4.75-5.25 W, 6.3-6.7 Ta, 0.02 max. Ti, 6.1-6.3 Al, 2.75-3.25 Re, 0.12-0.18 Hf,
5 0.04-0.06 C, 0.003-0.005 B, and 0.005-0.030 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

3. The superalloy of claim 2 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 7 Cr, 7.5 Co, 1.5 Mo, 5 W, 6.5 Ta, 0 Ti, 6.2 Al, 3 Re, 0.15 Hf, 0.05 C, 0.004 B, and 0.01 Y, the balance being nickel and
5 incidental impurities.

4. A nickel-base superalloy for producing single crystal articles consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10 Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10 W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf, 0-0.07 C,
5 0-0.015 B, and 0-0.075 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

5. The superalloy of claim 4 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 6.75-7.25 Cr, 7.0-8.0 Co, 1.3-1.7 Mo, 4.75-5.25 W, 6.3-6.7 Ta, 0.02 max Ti, 6.1-6.3 Al, 2.75-3.25 Re, 0.12-0.18 Hf,
5 0.04-0.06 C, 0.003-0.005 B, and 0.005-0.030 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

6. The superalloy of claim 5 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 7 Cr, 7.5 Co, 1.5 Mo, 5 W, 6.5 Ta, 0 Ti, 6.2 Al, 3 Re, 0.15 Hf,

0.05 C, 0.004 B, and 0.01 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

7. The article of claim 4 which is an airfoil member for a gas turbine engine.

8. A nickel-base superalloy for producing single crystal articles having improved tolerance to low angle grain boundaries consisting essentially of, in percentage by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10 Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10 W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf, 0-0.07 C, 0-0.015 B, and 0-0.075 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

9. The superalloy of claim 8 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 6.75-7.25 Cr, 7.0-8.0 Co, 1.3-1.7 Mo, 4.75-5.25 W, 6.3-6.7 Ta, 0.02 max. Ti, 6.1-6.3 Al, 2.75-3.25 Re, 0.12-0.18 Hf, 0.04-0.06 C, 0.003-0.005 B, and 0.005-0.030 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

10. The superalloy of claim 9 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 7 Cr, 7.5 Co, 1.5 Mo, 5 W, 6.5 Ta, 0 Ti, 6.2 Al, 3 Re, 0.15 Hf, 0.05 C, 0.004 B, and 0.01 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

11. The article of claim 8 which is an airfoil member for a gas turbine engine.

12. A heat treatment conducted in a vacuum or inert atmosphere comprising the steps of:

    a) selecting a single crystal article having a composition consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10 Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10 W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf, 0-0.07 C, 0-0.015 B, and 0-0.075 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities;

    b) heating for 2 hours in a temperature
       range sufficient to achieve solutioning
       of at least about 95% of the γ' phase;

    c) cooling to 2000°F at 100°F/minute
15   minimum and then to 1200°F in 60
       minutes or less;

    d) heating to 2050 ± 25°F for 4 hours;

    e) cooling to below 1200°F in 6 minutes or
       less; and

20 f) heating to 1650 ± 25°F for 4 hours.

    13. The heat treatment of claim 12 wherein
the composition of the article consists essentially of,
in percentages by weight, 6.75-7.25 Cr, 7.0-8.0 Co,
1.3-1.7 Mo, 4.75-5.25 W, 6.3-6.7 Ta, 0.02 max. Ti,
5   6.1-6.3 Al, 2.75-3.25 Re, 0.12-0.18 Hf, 0.04-0.06 C,
0.003-0.005 B, and 0.005-0.030 Y, the balance being
nickel and incidental impurities.

    14. The heat treatment of claim 12 wherein
the composition of the article consists essentially of,
in percentages by weight, .7 Cr, 7.5 Co, 1.5 Mo, 5 W,
6.5 Ta, 0 Ti, 6.2 Al, 3 Re, 0.15 Hf, 0.05 C, 0.004 B,
5   and 0.01 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental
impurities.

    15. The heat treatment of claim 12 wherein the
temperature range in b) is from about 2385 to 2395° F.

SSS/j
9/10/85

Amendments to the claims have been filed as follows

1. A nickel-base superalloy consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10 W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf, 0-0.07 C, 0-0.015
5   B, and 0-0.075 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

2. The superalloy of claim 1 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 6.75-7.25 Cr, 7.0-8.0 Co, 1.3-1.7
10   Mo, 4.75-5.25 W, 6.3-6.7 Ta, 0.02 max. Ti, 6.1-6.3 Al, 2.75-3.25 Re, 0.12-0.18 Hf, 0.04-0.06 C, 0.003-0.005 B, and 0.005-0.030 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

15   3. The superalloy of claim 2 consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 7 Cr, 7.5 Co, 1.5 Mo, 5 W, 6.5 Ta, 0 Ti, 6.2 Al, 3 Re, 0.15 Hf, 0.05 C, 0.004 B, and 0.01 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

20   4. A single crystal article produced from a nickel-base superalloy having a composition according to any one of the preceding claims.

5. An article according to claim 4 which is an airfoil
25   member for a gas turbine engine.

6. A heat treatment conducted in a vacuum or inert atmosphere comprising the steps of:
     (a) selecting a single crystal article having a
30   composition consisting essentially of, in percentages by weight, 5-10 Cr, 5-10 Co, 0-2 Mo, 3-10 W, 3-8 Ta, 0-2 Ti, 5-7 Al, 0-6 Re, 0-0.5 Hf, 0-0.07 C, 0-0.015 B, and 0-0.075 Y, the balance being nickel and
35   incidental impurities;

b) heating for 2 hours in a temperature range sufficient to achieve solutioning of at least   95% of the γ' phase;

c) cooling to 2000°F at 100°F/minute minimum and then to 1200°F in 60 minutes or less;

d) heating to 2050 ± 25°F for 4 hours;

e) cooling to below 1200°F in 6 minutes or less; and

f) heating to 1650 ± 25°F for 4 hours.

7.   The heat treatment of claim 6 wherein the composition of the article consists essentially of, in percentages by weight, 6.75-7.25 Cr, 7.0-8.0 Co, 1.3-1.7 Mo, 4.75-5.25 W, 6.3-6.7 Ta, 0.02 max. Ti, 6.1-6.3 Al, 2.75-3.25 Re, 0.12-0.18 Hf, 0.04-0.06 C, 0.003-0.005 B, and 0.005-0.030 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

8.   The heat treatment of claim 6 wherein the composition of the article consists essentially of, in percentages by weight, 7 Cr, 7.5 Co, 1.5 Mo, 5 W, 6.5 Ta, 0 Ti, 6.2 Al, 3 Re, 0.15 Hf, 0.05 C, 0.004 B, and 0.01 Y, the balance being nickel and incidental impurities.

9.   The heat treatment of claim 6 wherein the temperature range in b) is from about 2385 to 2395°F.

SSS/j
9/10/85